

On a class of arithmetic convolutions involving arbitrary sets of integers

LÁSZLÓ TÓTH

University of Pécs,
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,
Hungary, 7624 Pécs, Ifjúság u. 6,
E-mail: ltoth@ttk.pte.hu

Math. Pannonica **13** (2002), 249-263

Abstract

Let d, n be positive integers and S be an arbitrary set of positive integers. We say that d is an S -divisor of n if $d|n$ and $\gcd(d, n/d) \in S$. Consider the S -convolution of arithmetical functions given by (1.1), where the sum is extended over the S -divisors of n .

We determine the sets S such that the S -convolution is associative and preserves the multiplicativity of functions, respectively, and discuss other basic properties of it. We give asymptotic formulae with error terms for the functions $\sigma_S(n)$ and $\tau_S(n)$, representing the sum and the number of S -divisors of n , respectively, for an arbitrary S . We improve the remainder terms of these formulae and find the maximal orders of $\sigma_S(n)$ and $\tau_S(n)$ assuming additional properties of S . These results generalize, unify and sharpen previous ones.

We also pose some problems concerning these topics.

MSC 2000: 11A25, 11N37

Key Words and Phrases: arithmetic convolution, characteristic function, multiplicative function, completely multiplicative function, divisor function, Möbius function, asymptotic formula, maximal order

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{N}$ denote the set of positive integers and let S be an arbitrary subset of $\mathbf{N}$. For $n, d \in \mathbf{N}$ we say that d is an S -divisor of n if $d|n$ and $\gcd(d, n/d) \in S$, notation $d|_S n$. Consider the S -convolution of arithmetical functions f and g defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (f *_S g)(n) = \sum_{d|_S n} f(d)g(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} \rho_S((d, n/d))f(d)g(n/d),$$

where ρ_S stands for the characteristic function of S .

Let $\tau_S(n)$ and $\sigma_S(n)$ denote the number and the sum of S -divisors of n , respectively.

For $S = \mathbf{N}$ we obtain the Dirichlet convolution and the familiar functions $\tau(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$. For $S = \{1\}$ we have the unitary convolution and the functions $\tau^*(n)$ and $\sigma^*(n)$. These have been studied extensively in the literature, see for example [3] and its bibliography.

Among other special cases we mention here the following ones.

Let P be an arbitrary subset of the primes p and S be the multiplicative semigroup generated by $P \cup \{1\}$, i. e. $S = (P) \equiv \{1\} \cup \{n > 1 : p|n \Rightarrow p \in P\}$. Then the (P) -convolution is the concept of the cross-convolution, see [7], which is a special regular convolution of Narkiewicz-type [4].

If S is the set of k -free integers, $k \geq 2$, i. e. $S = Q_k \equiv \{1\} \cup \{n > 1 : p|n \Rightarrow p^k \nmid n\}$, then the Q_k -divisors are the k -ary divisors and (1.1) is the k -ary convolution, see [5], [6].

Let L_k denote the set of k -full integers, i. e. $L_k \equiv \{1\} \cup \{n > 1 : p|n \Rightarrow p^k | n\}$, where $k \in \mathbf{N}, k \geq 2$. The L_k -convolution given by

$$(1.2) \quad (f *_{L_k} g)(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ (d, n/d) \in L_k}} f(d)g(n/d)$$

seems to not have been investigated till now.

The aim of this note is to study some basic properties of the S -convolution, to give asymptotic formulae for the functions $\sigma_S(n)$ and $\tau_S(n)$ and to investigate the maximal orders of these functions.

Assuming that $1 \in S$ (then $1|_S n$ and $n|_S n$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$), we determine in Section 2 the subsets S such that the S -convolution is associative and preserves the multiplicativity of functions, respectively.

The most interesting property is that of associativity. It turns out that, for example, the Q_k -convolution with $k \geq 2$ is not associative, but the L_k -convolution is associative.

The L_k -convolution has also other nice properties, which are analogous to those of the Dirichlet convolution and of the unitary convolution. For example, the set of all complex valued arithmetical functions f with $f(1) \neq 0$ forms a commutative group under the L_k -convolution and the set of all nonzero multiplicative functions forms a subgroup of this group.

Furthermore, let μ_k denote the inverse with respect the L_k -convolution of the constant 1 function. We call it " k -full Möbius function", which is multiplicative and for every prime power p^a , $\mu_k(p^a) = -1$ for $1 \leq a < 2k$ and $\mu_k(p^a) = \mu_k(p^{a-1}) - \mu_k(p^{a-k})$ for $a \geq 2k$.

Note that $\mu_1 \equiv \mu$ is the ordinary Möbius function. The function μ_2 takes the values $-1, 0, 1$.

We pose the following problems: Which are the values taken by μ_k ? Investigate asymptotic properties of μ_k .

Note that the S -convolution is contained in the concept of the K -convolution to be defined in Section 2. Although there exist characterizations of basic properties of K -convolutions, see [2] and [3], Chapter 4, no study of (1.1) has been made in the literature.

Section 3 contains certain identities showing that for every S the S -convolution of two completely multiplicative functions can be expressed with the aid of their Dirichlet convolution and their unitary convolution, respectively.

Asymptotic formulae with error terms for the functions $\sigma_S(n)$ and $\tau_S(n)$, involving arbitrary subsets S , are given in Section 4. We show that the remainder terms can be sharpened assuming additional properties of S .

In Section 5 we determine the maximal order of $\sigma_S(n)$ assuming that S is multiplicative, i. e. $1 \in S$ and ρ_S is multiplicative, and give the maximal order of $\tau_S(n)$ for an arbitrary S with $1 \in S$.

What can be said on the maximal order of $\sigma_S(n)$ for an arbitrary subset S ?

The results of Sections 4 and 5 are obtained by elementary methods, they generalize, unify and improve the corresponding known results concerning the functions $\sigma(n)$, $\tau(n)$,

their unitary analogues $\sigma^*(n)$, $\tau^*(n)$, those involving k -ary divisors and the functions $\sigma_A(n)$, $\tau_A(n)$ associated with cross-convolutions, see [3], [5], [6], [7], [8].

2 Properties of the S -convolution

It is immediate that the S -convolution is commutative and distributive with respect ordinary addition for every S .

Assume in this section that $1 \in S$. Then $1|_S n$ and $n|_S n$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and denoting $\delta \equiv \rho_{\{1\}}$, i. e. $\delta(1) = 1$ and $\delta(n) = 0$ for $n > 1$, we have $f *_S \delta = f$ for every function f . This means that δ is the identity element for $*_S$.

We say that S is multiplicative if $1 \in S$ and its characteristic function ρ_S is multiplicative.

The K -convolution of arithmetical functions f and g is given by

$$(2.1) \quad (f *_K g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} K(n, d) f(d) g(n/d),$$

where K is a complex valued function defined on the set of all ordered pairs $\langle n, d \rangle$ with $n, d \in \mathbf{N}$ and $d|n$.

For $K(n, d) = \rho_S((d, n/d))$ (2.1) becomes (1.1), therefore the S -convolution is a special K -convolution.

Theorem 2.1 *The S -convolution preserves the multiplicativity of functions if and only if S is multiplicative.*

Proof. It is known ([3], Chapter 4) that the K -convolution preserves the multiplicativity if and only if

$$K(mn, de) = K(m, d) K(n, e)$$

holds for every $m, n, d, e \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $(m, n) = 1$ and $d|m, e|n$.

Hence the S -convolution has this property if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_S((de, mn/de)) = \rho_S((d, m/d)) \rho_S((e, n/e))$$

for every $m, n, d, e \in \mathbf{N}$ with $(m, n) = 1$ and $d|m, e|n$.

If S is multiplicative, then for every m, n, d, e given as above $(d, m/d)$ and $(e, n/e)$ are relatively prime, $(de, mn/de) = (d, m/d)(e, n/e)$ and we obtain (2.2).

Conversely, if (2.2) holds and $M, N \in \mathbf{N}$, $(M, N) = 1$ are given integers, then taking $d = M, m = M^2, e = N, n = N^2$ we obtain

$$\rho_S(MN) = \rho_S(M) \rho_S(N),$$

showing that S is multiplicative. $\diamond$

Remark. It follows that all the convolutions mentioned in the Introduction preserve the multiplicativity.

Theorem 2.2 *The S -convolution is associative if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) S is multiplicative,
- (2) for every prime p and for every $j \in \mathbf{N}$ if $p^j \in S$, then $p^\ell \in S$ for every $\ell > j$.

Remark. Condition (2) is equivalent with the following: for every prime p one of the next statements is true:

- (i) $p^j \in S$ for every $j \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (ii) $p^j \notin S$ for every $j \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (iii) there exists $e = e(p) \in \mathbf{N}$ depending on p such that $p^j \notin S$ for every $1 \leq j < e$ and $p^j \in S$ for every $j \geq e$.

Proof. It is known ([3], Chapter 4) that the K -convolution is associative if and only if

$$K(n, d)K(d, e) = K(n, e)K(n/e, d/e)$$

holds for every $n, d, e \in \mathbf{N}$ with $d|n, e|d$.

Therefore the S -convolution is associative if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_S((d, n/d))\rho_S((e, d/e)) = \rho_S((e, n/e))\rho_S((d/e, n/d))$$

for every $n, d, e \in \mathbf{N}$ with $d|n, e|d$.

First we show that if $*_S$ is associative, then ρ_S is multiplicative. Suppose that (2.3) is satisfied, let $M, N \in \mathbf{N}$, $(M, N) = 1$ and take $n = M^2N^2, d = MN, e = M$. Then we have

$$\rho_S((MN, MN))\rho_S((M, N)) = \rho_S((M, MN^2))\rho_S((N, MN)),$$

hence

$$\rho_S(MN) = \rho_S(M)\rho_S(N).$$

Assume now that S is multiplicative. Then, taking $n = p^a, d = p^b, e = p^c$, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \rho_S((p^b, p^{a-b}))\rho_S((p^c, p^{b-c})) = \rho_S((p^c, p^{a-c}))\rho_S((p^{b-c}, p^{a-b}))$$

for every prime p and for every $0 \leq c \leq b \leq a$. Note that it is sufficient to require (2.4) for every $0 < c < b < a$.

Suppose that $p^j \in S$, where $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $\ell > j$. We show that $p^\ell \in S$.

Case 1. $\ell < 2j$. Take $a = \ell + 2j, b = \ell + j, c = \ell$. From (2.4) we obtain

$$\rho_S((p^{\ell+j}, p^j))\rho_S((p^\ell, p^j)) = \rho_S((p^\ell, p^{2j}))\rho_S((p^j, p^j)),$$

$$\rho_S(p^j)\rho_S(p^j) = \rho_S(p^\ell)\rho_S(p^j),$$

giving $\rho_S(p^\ell) = 1$.

Case 2. $\ell \geq 2j$. Now let $a = 2\ell, b = \ell, c = \ell - j$. From (2.4) we have

$$\rho_S((p^\ell, p^\ell))\rho_S((p^{\ell-j}, p^j)) = \rho_S((p^{\ell-j}, p^{\ell+j}))\rho_S((p^j, p^\ell)),$$

$$\rho_S(p^\ell)\rho_S(p^j) = \rho_S(p^{\ell-j})\rho_S(p^j),$$

thus

$$(2.5) \quad \rho_S(p^\ell) = \rho_S(p^{\ell-j}).$$

If $\ell = kj + r$, where $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq r < j$, then applying (2.5) we have

$$\rho_S(p^\ell) = \rho_S(p^{\ell-j}) = \rho_S(p^{\ell-2j}) = \dots = \rho_S(p^{j+r}) = 1,$$

where $j \leq j + r < 2j$ and we use the result of Case 1.

In order to complete the proof we show that if S is multiplicative and condition (2) holds, then we have (2.4) for every $0 < c < b < a$.

Consider the cases of the Remark of above. For (i) and (ii) (2.4) holds trivially. In case (iii) if $p^j \notin S$ for every $1 \leq j \leq e - 1$ and $p^j \in S$ for every $j \geq e$, then (2.4) means that the statements " $[(b \geq e \text{ and } a - b \geq e) \text{ and } (c \geq e \text{ and } b - c \geq e)]$ " and " $[(c \geq e \text{ and } a - c \geq e) \text{ and } (b - c \geq e \text{ and } a - b \geq e)]$ " are equivalent. A quick check shows that this is true. $\diamond$

Remark. From Theorem 2.2 we obtain that the Q_k -convolution ($k \geq 2$) is not associative, but the L_k -convolution and the (P)-convolution defined in the Introduction are associative.

Theorem 2.3 *If conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2 hold, then the set of all complex valued arithmetical functions forms a commutative (and associative) ring with identity with respect to ordinary addition and S -convolution (in particular L_k convolution).*

*This ring has no divisors of zero if and only if $S = \mathbf{N}$, i. e. $*_S$ is the Dirichlet convolution.*

Proof. The first part of this result follows at once from Theorem 2.2 and from the previous remarks.

Furthermore, it is well-known that for the Dirichlet convolution there are no divisors of zero. Conversely, suppose that $S \neq \mathbf{N}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2. Then there exists a prime p such that $p \notin S$ and the following functions are divisors of zero:

$$f(n) = g(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = p, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \diamond$$

Theorem 2.4 *If conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2 hold, then the set of all complex valued arithmetical functions f with $f(1) \neq 0$ forms a commutative group under S -convolution (in particular L_k -convolution) and the set of all nonzero multiplicative functions forms a subgroup of this group.*

Proof. This yields in a similar manner as in case of the Dirichlet convolution and unitary convolution or in general for certain K -convolutions, see [3], Ch.4. $\diamond$

Consider now the " k -full"-convolution corresponding to $S = L_k$, the set of k -full numbers. Let μ_k denote the " k -full Möbius function", representing the inverse of the function $I(n) = 1, n \in \mathbf{N}$ with respect to this convolution. According to Theorem 2.4 μ_k is multiplicative and a short computation shows that for every prime power p^a ,

$$\mu_k(p^a) = -1, \quad 1 \leq a < 2k \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_k(p^a) = \mu_k(p^{a-1}) - \mu_k(p^{a-k}), \quad a \geq 2k.$$

Observe that $\mu_1 \equiv \mu$ is the ordinary Möbius function.

For the "squarefull Möbius function" μ_2 (case $k = 2$) we have $\mu_2(p) = \mu_2(p^2) = \mu_2(p^3) = -1$ and

$$\mu_2(p^a) = \mu_2(p^{a-1}) - \mu_2(p^{a-2}), \quad a \geq 4.$$

Therefore, $\mu_2(p) = \mu_2(p^2) = \mu_2(p^3) = -1, \mu_2(p^4) = 0, \mu_2(p^5) = \mu_2(p^6) = 1, \mu_2(p^7) = 0, \mu_2(p^8) = \mu_2(p^9) = -1, \mu_2(p^{10}) = 0, \dots$

The values taken by μ_2 are $-1, 0, 1$. This is not true for μ_3 , since $\mu_3(p^a) = -1$ for $1 \leq a \leq 5$, $\mu_3(p^6) = 0, \mu_3(p^7) = 1, \mu_3(p^8) = \mu_3(p^9) = 2, \mu_3(p^{10}) = 1, \mu_3(p^{11}) = -1, \mu_3(p^{12}) = -3, \mu_3(p^{13}) = -4, \dots$.

We pose the following problems: Which are the values taken by μ_k ? Investigate asymptotic properties of μ_k . Does it possess a mean value?

3 Identities

For an arbitrary $S \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ let μ_S be the Möbius function of S defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{d|n} \mu_S(n) = \rho_S(n), \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

see [1], therefore, by Möbius inversion,

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_S(n) = \sum_{d|n} \rho_S(d) \mu(n/d), \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where $\mu \equiv \mu_{\{1\}}$ is the ordinary Möbius function.

The zeta function ζ_S is defined by

$$\zeta_S(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_S(n)}{n^z}.$$

It follows that $\zeta_{\mathbf{N}} \equiv \zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function and

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_S(n)}{n^z} = \frac{\zeta_S(z)}{\zeta(z)} \quad (z > 1).$$

Theorem 3.1 *If $S \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ and f and g are completely multiplicative functions, then for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$(3.4) \quad (f *_S g)(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu_S(d) f(d) g(d) (f * g)(n/d^2),$$

where $*$ $\equiv$ $*_{\mathbf{N}}$ is the Dirichlet convolution and

$$(3.5) \quad (f *_S g)(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \rho_S(d) f(d) g(d) (f \times g)(n/d^2),$$

where $\times \equiv *_{\{1\}}$ is the unitary convolution.

Proof. Using (3.1) we have for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$(f *_S g)(n) = \sum_{de=n} \rho_S((d, e)) f(d) g(e) = \sum_{de=n} \left(\sum_{j|(d, e)} \mu_S(j) \right) f(d) g(e).$$

Hence with $d = ja, e = jb$,

$$(f *_S g)(n) = \sum_{j^2 ab=n} \mu_S(j) f(ja) g(jb) = \sum_{j^2 ab=n} \mu_S(j) f(j) f(a) g(j) g(b) =$$

$$= \sum_{j^2 \ell = n} \mu_S(j) f(j) g(j) \sum_{ab = \ell} f(a) g(b) = \sum_{j^2 \ell = n} \mu_S(j) f(j) g(j) (f * g)(\ell),$$

which is (3.4).

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_S g)(n) &= \sum_{de=n} \rho_S((d, e)) f(d) g(e) = \sum_{a \in S} \sum_{\substack{de=n \\ (d, e)=a}} f(d) g(e) = \\ &= \sum_a \rho_S(a) \sum_{\substack{de=n \\ (d/a, e/a)=1}} f(d) g(e). \end{aligned}$$

With $d = ai, e = bj$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_S g)(n) &= \sum_{\substack{a^2 ij = n \\ (i, j)=1}} \rho_S(a) f(a) g(a) f(i) g(j) = \sum_{a^2 b = n} \rho_S(a) f(a) g(a) \sum_{\substack{ij=b \\ (i, j)=1}} f(i) g(j) = \\ &= \sum_{a^2 b = n} \rho_S(a) f(a) g(a) (f \times g)(b), \end{aligned}$$

giving (3.5). $\diamond$

Theorem 3.2 *If $S \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, then for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$(3.6) \quad \tau_S(n) = \sum_{d^2 | n} \mu_S(d) \tau(n/d^2) = \sum_{d^2 | n} \rho_S(d) \tau^*(n/d^2),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_S(n) = \sum_{d^2 | n} \mu_S(d) d \sigma(n/d^2) = \sum_{d^2 | n} \rho_S(d) d \sigma^*(n/d^2).$$

Proof. This yields at once from Theorem 3.1 applied for $f(n) = g(n) = 1$ and $f(n) = n, g(n) = 1$, respectively. $\diamond$

Note that if S is multiplicative, then the functions $\tau_S(n)$ and $\sigma_S(n)$ are also multiplicative.

The generalized Euler function $\phi_S(n) = \#\{k \in \mathbf{N} : k \leq n, (k, n) \in S\}$ was considered in [1] and one has $\phi_S = \mu_S * E = \rho_S * \phi$, where $E(n) = n, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\phi \equiv \phi_{\{1\}}$ is the ordinary Euler function, see also [7].

4 Asymptotic formulae

The following asymptotic formulae generalize and improve the known formulae concerning the functions $\sigma(n)$, $\tau(n)$, their unitary analogues, those involving k -ary divisors and the functions $\sigma_A(n)$, $\tau_A(n)$ associated with cross-convolutions, cf. [3], Ch. 6; [5], Corollary 3.1.1; [6], Corollary 3.1; [7], Theorem 12; [8], Theorem 2; see also [9], Corollary 1.

Theorem 4.1 *If $S \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma_S(n) = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta_S(3)}{2\zeta(3)} x^2 + R_S(x),$$

where the remainder term can be evaluated as follows:

- (1) $R_S(x) = O(x \log^{8/3} x)$ for an arbitrary S ,
- (2) $R_S(x) = O(x \log^{5/3} x)$ for an S such that $\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n} < \infty$ (in particular for every finite S) and for every multiplicative S ,
- (3) $R_S(x) = O(x \log^{2/3} x)$ for every multiplicative S such that $\sum_{p \notin S} \frac{1}{p} < \infty$ (in particular if the set $\{p : p \notin S\}$ is finite).

Proof. We have from (3.7),

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma_S(n) = \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu_S(d) d \sum_{e \leq x/d^2} \sigma(e).$$

Applying now the well-known result of Walfisz [10],

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n) = \frac{\zeta(2)}{2} x^2 + O(x \log^{2/3} x)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma_S(n) &= \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu_S(d) d \left(\frac{\zeta(2)x^2}{2d^4} + O\left(\frac{x}{d^2} (\log \frac{x}{d^2})^{2/3}\right) \right) = \\ &= \frac{\zeta(2)x^2}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_S(d)}{d^3} + O\left(x^2 \sum_{d > \sqrt{x}} \frac{|\mu_S(d)|}{d^3}\right) + O\left(x(\log x)^{2/3} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{|\mu_S(d)|}{d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the main term apply (3.3) and the given error term yields from the next statements:

- (a) For an arbitrary $S \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, $|\mu_S(n)| \leq \sum_{d|n} \rho_S(d) \leq \tau(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|\mu_S(n)|}{n} &\leq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\rho_S(d)}{d} \sum_{e \leq x/d} \frac{1}{e} = \\ &= O\left(\log x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\rho_S(d)}{d}\right) = \begin{cases} O(\log x), & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_S(n)}{n} < \infty, \\ O(\log^2 x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) If S is multiplicative, then μ_S is multiplicative too, $\mu_S(p^a) = \rho_S(p^a) - \rho_S(p^{a-1})$ for every prime power p^a ($a \geq 1$) and $\mu_S(n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

- (c) Suppose S is multiplicative. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_S(p^k)|}{p^k} &\leq \sum_p \left(\frac{|\rho_S(p) - 1|}{p} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^k} \right) = \sum_{p \in S} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} + \sum_{p \notin S} \frac{1}{p-1} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{p \in S} \frac{1}{p^2} + \sum_{p \notin S} \frac{1}{p} \right) < \infty \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{p \notin S} \frac{1}{p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that in this case the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_S(n)|}{n}$ is convergent. $\diamond$

Theorem 4.2 *If S is an arbitrary subset of $\mathbf{N}$, then*

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_S(n) = \frac{\zeta_S(2)}{\zeta(2)} x \left(\log x + 2\gamma - 1 + \frac{2\zeta'_S(2)}{\zeta_S(2)} - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + O(\sqrt{x} \log^2 x),$$

where γ is the Euler constant and $\zeta'_S(z)$ is the derivative of $\zeta_S(z)$.

This result follows applying the first identity of (3.6) and using Dirichlet's formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) + O(x^\alpha).$$

The remainder term of (4.2) can be improved assuming further properties of S . For example, if S is multiplicative, then the error term is $O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$ and if S (i. e. ρ_S) is completely multiplicative and $\{p : p \notin S\}$ is a finite set, then the error term is $O(x^\alpha)$. We do not go into details.

5 Maximal orders

Generalizing the result of Gronwall concerning the function $\sigma(n)$ we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let S be an arbitrary multiplicative subset. Denote by P the set of primes p such that $p^j \in S$ for every $j \in \mathbf{N}$. For every $p \notin P$ let $s(p) \in \mathbf{N}$ denote the least exponent j such that $p^j \notin S$ (i. e. $p^j \in S$ for every $1 \leq j < s(p)$ and $p^{s(p)} \notin S$).*

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_S(n)}{n \log \log n} = e^\gamma \prod_{p \notin P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right).$$

Proof. For every $p \in P, a \in \mathbf{N}$ and for every $p \notin P, a < 2s(p)$ the S -divisors of p^a are all divisors $1, p, p^2, \dots, p^a$. Hence $\sigma_S(p^a) = \sigma(p^a) = 1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^a$.

For every $p \notin P$ and $a \geq 2s(p)$ the numbers $p^{s(p)}$ and $p^{a-s(p)}$ are certainly not S -divisors of p^a , since $(p^{a-s(p)}, p^{s(p)}) = p^{s(p)} \notin S$. Therefore $\sigma_S(p^a) < (1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{a-s(p)-1}) + (p^{a-s(p)+1} + \dots + p^a) < p^{a-s(p)} + p^{a-s(p)+1} + \dots + p^a \leq p^{a-2s(p)+1} + p^{a-2s(p)+2} + \dots + p^a$.

We obtain that

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\sigma_S(p^a)}{p^a} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)-1}}$$

holds for every prime power p^a with $p \notin P$ with equality for $a = 2s(p) - 1$.

Also, for every $p \in P, a \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\sigma_S(p^a)}{p^a} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

We show that

$$\frac{\sigma_S(n)}{n} \leq e^\gamma \prod_{p \notin P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right) \log \log n (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4) we have for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_S(n)}{n} &\leq \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)-1}}\right) = \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \leq \log n \\ p \in P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p > \log n \\ p \in P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \leq \log n \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)-1}}\right) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p > \log n \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)-1}}\right) \leq \\
& \leq \prod_{\substack{p \leq \log n \\ p \in P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \leq \log n \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)-1}}\right) \times \\
& \quad \times \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p > \log n \\ p \in P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p > \log n \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = \\
& = \prod_{\substack{p \leq \log n \\ p \in P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right) \prod_{p \leq \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p > \log n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \leq \\
& \leq \prod_{\substack{p \leq \log n \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right) \prod_{p \leq \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p > \log n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{-1} = \\
& = e^\gamma \prod_{p \notin P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right) \log \log n (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

applying Mertens' theorem $\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - \frac{1}{p}) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} (1 + o(1))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and the fact that $\#\{p : p|n, p > \log n\} \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$.

Now we show that this upper bound is asymptotically attained.

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose t so large such that

$$\prod_{p > t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

For this t choose an exponent $a \geq 1$ such that

$$\prod_{p \leq t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^a}\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Consider the sequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ given by

$$n_k = \prod_{\substack{p \leq t \\ p \in P}} p^{a-1} \prod_{\substack{p \leq t \\ p \notin P}} p^{2s(p)-1} \prod_{t < p \leq e^k} p.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma_S(n_k)}{n_k} &= \prod_{\substack{p \leq t \\ p \in P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{a-1}}\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{p \leq t \\ p \notin P}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)-1}}\right) \prod_{t < p \leq e^k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \geq \\
& \geq \prod_{p \leq t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^a}\right) \prod_{p \notin P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right) \prod_{p > t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p \leq e^k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \geq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \prod_{p \notin P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right) e^\gamma k(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

applying Mertens' theorem again.

Furthermore, considering the Chebysev function $\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$ and using the elementary estimate $\theta(x) = O(x)$, we get

$$\log n_k \leq O(1) + \theta(e^k) = O(e^k).$$

Hence, for sufficiently large k ,

$$\log \log n_k \leq O(1) + k < (1 + \varepsilon)k.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_S(n_k)}{n_k \log \log n_k} \geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{1 + \varepsilon} e^\gamma \prod_{p \notin P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s(p)}}\right),$$

and the proof is complete. $\diamond$

A direct consequence of Theorem 5.1 is the following result.

Theorem 5.2 *Let S be an arbitrary multiplicative subset and suppose that there exists $s \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for every prime p , $p^j \in S$ for every $1 \leq j < s$ and $p^s \notin S$. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_S(n)}{n \log \log n} = \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2s)}.$$

This result can be applied for $S = Q_k$ (case $s = k \geq 1$), for $S = L_k$ (case $s = 1$).

What is the maximal order of $\sigma_S(n)$ for an arbitrary subset S ?

Theorem 5.3 *Let S be an arbitrary subset such that $1 \in S$. Then*

$$(4.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tau_S(n) \log \log n}{\log n} = \log 2.$$

Proof. It is well-known that this result holds for the function $\tau(n)$ (case $S = \mathbf{N}$) and that for the sequence $n_k = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$, where p_i is the i -th prime,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \tau(n_k) \log \log n_k}{\log n_k} = \log 2.$$

Taking into account that if $1 \in S$, then $\tau_S(n) = \tau(n)$ for every squarefree n and $\tau_S(n) \leq \tau(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, (4.5) follows at once. $\diamond$

References

- [1] E. COHEN, *Arithmetical functions associated with arbitrary sets of integers*, Acta Arith., **5** (1959), 407-415.
- [2] T. M. K. DAVISON, *On arithmetic convolutions*, Canad. Math. Bull., **9** (1966), 287-296.

- [3] P. J. MCCARTHY, *Introduction to Arithmetical Functions*, Springer Verlag, New York - Berlin - Heidelberg - Tokyo, 1986.
- [4] W. NARKIEWICZ, *On a class of arithmetical convolutions*, Colloq. Math., **10** (1963), 81-94.
- [5] D. SURYANARAYANA, *The number of k -ary divisors of an integer*, Monatsh. Math., **72** (1968), 445-450.
- [6] D. SURYANARAYANA, *Some theorems concerning the k -ary divisors of an integer*, Math. Student, **39** (1971), 384-394.
- [7] L. TÓTH, *Asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions, I. Divisor-sum functions and Euler-type functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **50** (1997), 159-176.
- [8] L. TÓTH, *Asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions, II. The divisor function*, Studia Univ. Babeş - Bolyai, Math., **42** (1997), 105-110.
- [9] L. TÓTH, *Sum functions of certain generalized divisors*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math. **41** (1998), 165-180.
- [10] A. WALFISZ, *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie*, Mathematische Forschungsberichte, XV, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1963.